

Serial No. 08/470,571
Docket No. 05634.0261

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : John C. Harvey and
James W. Cuddihy

Serial No. : 08/470,571

Filed : June 6, 1995

For : SIGNAL PROCESSING APPARATUS AND METHODS

Group Art Unit : 2614

Examiner : Harvey, D.


RECEIVED
FEB 01 2002
Technology Center 2600

BOX: AF

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.....	7
A. In the Claims.....	7
II. SUMMARY OF CLAIMS (AS AMENDED) AND SUPPORT THEREFOR.....	35
A. Independent Claim 56.....	35
1. Summary of Claim 56.....	35
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date	35
3. Overview of Claim Amendments	36
a. Independent Claim 56.....	36
b. Dependent Claims 57, 58, 62, 63, 70, 73 and 74	38
c. Dependent Claim 64	38
d. Dependent Claim 65	39
e. Dependent Claim 69	39
f. Dependent Claim 76	39
g. Dependent Claim 91	40
B. Independent Claim 80.....	41
1. Summary of Claim 80.....	41
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date	41
3. Overview of Claim Amendments	42
a. Independent Claim 80.....	42
b. Dependent Claim 81	45
C. Independent Claim 84.....	45
1. Summary of Claim 84.....	45
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date	46
3. Overview of Claim Amendments	47
a. Independent Claim 84.....	47
D. Independent Claim 93.....	49
1. Summary of Claim 93.....	49
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date	49
3. Overview of Claim Amendments	50



RECEIVED
FEB 01 2002
Technology Center 2600

a. Independent Claim 93.....	50
b. Dependent Claim 94.....	52
c. Dependent Claim 95.....	52
d. Dependent Claim 100.....	52
e. Dependent Claim 101.....	52
f. Dependent Claims 103, 104, 105 and 106.....	53
E. Independent Claim 110.....	54
1. Summary of Claim 110.....	54
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	54
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	56
a. Independent Claim 110.....	56
b. Dependent Claims 112, 113 and 114.....	59
F. Independent Claim 116.....	60
1. Summary of Claim 116.....	60
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	60
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	63
a. Independent Claim 116.....	63
b. Dependent Claim 117.....	65
G. Independent Claim 123.....	65
1. Summary of Claim 123.....	65
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	65
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	66
a. Independent Claim 123.....	66
b. Dependent Claim 124.....	68
c. Dependent Claim 127.....	69
H. Independent Claim 162.....	70
1. Summary of Claim 162.....	70
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	70
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	72
a. Independent Claim 162.....	72
I. Independent Claim 167.....	74
1. Summary of Claim 167.....	74
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	74
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	75
a. Independent Claim 167.....	75
J. Independent Claim 171.....	77
1. Summary of Claim 171.....	77
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	77
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	78
a. Independent Claim 171.....	78
K. Independent Claim 175.....	81
1. Summary of Claim 175.....	81
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	81
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	84
a. Independent Claim 175.....	84
L. Independent Claim 179.....	86
1. Summary of Claim 179.....	86
2. Summary of Support from the 1987 & 1981 Disclosures Entitling Claim to Priority Filing Date.....	86
3. Overview of Claim Amendments.....	87
a. Independent Claim 179.....	87
III. REMARKS.....	89
A. Introduction.....	89
B. Summary of Final Office Action.....	90
C. Response to Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	93
1. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph.....	93
a. Written Description.....	93

(1) Summary of the Law.....	93
(2) The Examiner Has Not Met His Burden of Proof For His Unsupported Rejection of All Pending Claims Under § 112-1.....	96
(3) Responses to Miscellaneous § 112-1 Problems That Allegedly Support Examiner's Blanket Rejection of All Pending Claims.....	98
(a) The Examiner's Assertion That Claims Cannot Be Immediately Discerned from the Disclosure.....	99
(b) The Examiner's Assertion of Improper Use of the 1981 Disclosure.....	99
(c) The Examiner's Assertion of Improper Use of Amended Claims.....	100
(d) The Examiner's Assertion That the Use of Different Words Makes Locating Support a Monumental Task.....	100
(e) The Examiner's Assertion That Support Must Be Identified in a Single Embodiment/Example.....	101
(f) The Examiner's Assertion of Improper Reliance on Twisted/Inconsistent Definitions of Terminology.....	102
(4) Responses to the Specific § 112-1 Problems Identified by Examiner in Examples 1-36.....	103
(a) Examples Directed to Claim 56.....	105
(b) Examples Directed to Claim 75.....	107
(c) Examples Directed to Claim 76.....	115
(d) Examples Directed to Claim 78.....	115
(e) Examples Directed to Claim 80.....	118
(f) Examples Directed to Claim 81.....	121
(g) Examples Directed to Claim 84.....	122
(h) Examples Directed to Claim 93.....	127
b. Responses to Examiner's Rejections Based on § 112 For Lack of Enablement (All Claims Directed to the Processing/Distribution of Digital Television).....	128
2. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph.....	131
a. Blanket Rejections For Which Examiner Has Not Met His Burden of Proof (All Pending Claims Are Rejected Under § 112-2 For Indefiniteness).....	131
b. Specific Arguments Regarding § 112-2.....	132
3. Conclusion.....	135
D. Applicants' Response with Respect to Claim of Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 120.....	136
E. Response to Examiner's Denial of Priority to 1981.....	141
1. Applicants' Allegedly Improper Reliance on Written Description Support in the 1981 Application.....	141
2. The Alleged Effects of applicants' Decision Not to Incorporate by Reference the 1981 Application into the 1987 Application As Originally Filed.....	142
3. Best Mode.....	143
4. Specific Issues.....	143
a. The Technology Disclosed in the 1981 and 1987 Disclosures Is Sufficiently Similar to Support All of the Currently Pending Claims.....	143
b. The 1981 Disclosure of "Instructions" and "Commands" Supports the Recitation of "Downloading of Computer Software/Programming".....	146
c. Consistent Interpretations Are Applied to Claim Limitations to Find Support in 1981 and 1987 Disclosures.....	147
d. Applicants Use One Consistent Definition of "Programming".....	149
F. Response to Obviousness Rejection of Claims.....	151
1. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Gargini et al. U.K. Pat. No. 2,164,229.....	153
a. Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	153
b. Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	156
c. Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	158
2. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Baker, EPO No. 152,251 in View of Gargini et al.....	161
a. Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	161
b. Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	164
c. Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	166
d. Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.....	168

e.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	171
3.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Gargini in View of Baker.	173
a.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	173
4.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Thonnart U.S. Pat. No. 4,431,281.	176
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	176
b.	Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	179
c.	Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	182
d.	Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	185
e.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	188
f.	Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	191
5.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Thonnart in View of Patfield, U.K. Pat. No. 2,167,917.	194
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	194
b.	Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	199
c.	Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	203
d.	Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	208
e.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	213
f.	Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	218
6.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Cox et al. U.S. Pat. No. 4,388,639 in View of "An Integrated Teletext and Viewdata Receiver" by Insam et al. and "Teletext and Viewdata – A Comprehensive Component Solution" by Beakhurst et al. Further in View of Betts, GB 1,556,366.	222
a.	Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	223
b.	Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	228
c.	Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	233
d.	Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	238
e.	Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	243
7.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Campbell et al. U.S. Pat. No. 4,536,791.	247
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	248
b.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	252
c.	Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	255
d.	Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	259
e.	Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	262
f.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	265
g.	Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	268
h.	Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	272
i.	Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	274
j.	Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	276
k.	Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	279
l.	Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	282
8.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Oono et al. Jap. Pat. No. 55-028691.	285
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	285
9.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Oono et al. in View of "A Public Broadcaster's View of Teletext in the United States" by Gunn et al.	287
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	287
b.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	291
c.	Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	294
d.	Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	297
e.	Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	301
f.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	304
g.	Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	308
h.	Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	312
i.	Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	315
j.	Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	318
k.	Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	322
l.	Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	326
10.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Hutt et al. U.S. Pat. No. 3,961,137.	329

a. Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	329
11. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Hutt et al. in View of Betts, U.K. Pat. No. 1,556,366.	333
12. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Dufresne U.S. Pat. No. 4,623,920 in View of Campbell et al.	339
13. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on "CBS/CCETT North American Broadcast Teletext Specification" and Appendix B of "Petition for Rulemaking."	339
a. Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	340
14. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on "BS-14 Issue-I, Provisional Broadcast Specification for Television Broadcast Videotext."	343
a. Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	343
15. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on "CBS/CCETT North American Broadcast Teletext Specification," Appendix B of "Petition for Rulemaking," BS-14 Issue-I, Provisional Broadcast Specification for Television Broadcast Videotext, Further in View of Betts.	346
16. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Editor & Publisher, August 1981 Article, "Landmark Forms Cable Weather News Network," in View of CBS/CCETT North American Broadcast Teletext Specification, Appendix B of "Petition for Rulemaking," and BS-14 Issue-I, Provisional Broadcast Specification for Television Broadcast Videotext.	347
17. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on VSA Publication, "Systems/NABTS NAPLPS" And/Or "Teletext Signal Generation Equipment and Systems" by Mothersole in View of Germany, U.K. Pat. No. 959,274.	347
a. Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	348
18. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on "Telesoftware-Value Added Teletext" by Hedger et al. in View of Gunn et al.	352
a. Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	352
b. Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	357
c. Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	359
19. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Diederich German Pat. No. 2,356,969.	361
20. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Zaboklicki German. Pat. Appl. No. 2,904,981.	361
a. Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	362
b. Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	368
21. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on Oono et al. in View of Matsushita, Jap. Pat. No. 55-26792 and Rausch, U.S. Pat. No. 3,778,058.	370
a. Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	371
b. Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	374
c. Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	377
d. Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	380
e. Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	384
22. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection Based on "WEATHER STAR" system as set forth in Galumbeck et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,725,886 and Editor & Publisher, August 1981 Article, "Landmark Forms Cable Weather News Network."	387
23. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on "Videotext Services Via CATV-Hybrid Systems Approach" by Dages in View of Oono et al.	390
a. Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	390
24. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Haselwood et al. U.S. Pat. No. 4,025,851 and Hutt, "The Vertical Interval: General Purpose Transmission Path" by Anderson, "Vertical Interval Signal Application" by Etkin, "Ad Hoc Committee on Television Broadcast Ancillary Signals" by O'Connor, "Ancillary Signals for Television Innovations and Implications," and Betts.	393
a. Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	398
b. Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	400
c. Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	401
d. Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	403
e. Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	405
f. Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	407
g. Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	409
h. Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	411

i.	Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	413
j.	Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	414
k.	Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	416
l.	Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	418
25.	35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection based on Haselwood and Mothersole in View of "VIRDATA", Chambers, U.S. Pat. No. 4,337,485, Gunn et al., Anderson and Barrett, U.S. Pat. No. 4,205,343.....	420
a.	Independent Claim 56 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	425
b.	Independent Claim 80 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	427
c.	Independent Claim 84 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	428
d.	Independent Claim 93 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	430
e.	Independent Claim 110 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	432
f.	Independent Claim 116 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	434
g.	Independent Claim 123 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	436
h.	Independent Claim 162 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	438
i.	Independent Claim 167 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	440
j.	Independent Claim 171 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	441
k.	Independent Claim 175 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	443
l.	Independent Claim 179 and Dependent Claims Thereto.	445
G.	Response to Miscellaneous Issues Raised in Final Office Action	447
IV.	CONCLUSION	451



38/I
by
2/9/02
N.E.

**I. AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

This amendment is responsive to the Final Office Action (or “FOA”) mailed August 27, 2001.¹ applicants respectfully request that the following amendments be entered into the above-captioned application:

A. In the Claims

Applicants request entering the below amendments to the claims:

claims 56-58, 62-65, 69-70, 73-74, 76, 80-81, 84, 91, 93-95, 100-101, 103-106, 110, 112-114, 116-117, 123-124, 127, 141, 162, 167, 171, 175 & 179 are amended;

claims 75, 77-79, 83, 88, 92, 115, 119, 128, 130-139, 142-161, 177-178 & 180 are cancelled; and

claims 59-61, 66-68, 71-72, 82, 85-87, 89-90, 96-99, 102, 107-109, 111, 118, 120-122, 125-126, 129, 140, 163-166, 168-170, 172-174, 176 & 181-182 remain unchanged.

56. (Five Times Amended) A method for receiving and processing remotely originated and locally supplied data for use with an video apparatus, said video apparatus having a video output device for displaying a video presentation comprising a locally generated image and an image received from a remote video source, said method comprising the steps of:

originating at said video apparatus at least a first request in order to enable content to be displayed in said video presentation;

¹ The copy of the August 27, 2001 Final Office Action that applicants received did not include page numbers. Applicants include a copy of the Final Office Action as APPENDIX C with page numbers in the following manner: H&W 001, H&W 002, etc. A copy of the page numbered version of the FOA is included with applicants' Response. Throughout this Response, applicants cite to the FOA indicating the H&W page number and, if appropriate, the particular section and paragraph (e.g., FOA, § VI, ¶15 (H&W 60)).